

Meeting Minutes from January 25, 2018

## **Curriculum Committee**

**Voting Members:** Rebecca Beal, Becky Brown, Paul Cheney, Maria Coulson, Luna Finlayson, Dave King, Bob McCoy, Sara McKinnon, Joanna Pinckney, A Joe Ritchie, Nancy Willet

**Voting Members who cannot attend Spring 2018 meetings:** Jessica Park, Nadia Sanko, Derek Wilson

**Nonvoting Members:** Sheldon Carroll, Tom Hudgens, Becky Reetz, Cari Torres-Benavides

**Guests:** Meg Pasquel

**Chair:** A Joe Ritchie

### **Agenda**

- Motioned to approve: Joanna Pinckney
- Second the motion: Sara McKinnon
- Approval status: Members in attendance voted to approve the Agenda with the addition of Meg Pasquel to the Agenda

### **Minutes from December 14, 2017**

- Motioned to approve: Sara McKinnon
- Second the motion: Joanna Pinckney
- Approval status: Members in attendance voted to approve the minutes.
- Members voting no: None
- Members abstaining: Rebecca Beal, Paul Cheney, Luna Finlayson, Dave King, Bob McCoy

### **Reports:**

#### **Academic Senate Update (Becky Brown)**

1. The Senate discussed program review.

#### **UDWC (Cari Torres)**

1. All curriculum was approved at the previous meeting.

### **GE Committee (Dave King)**

1. This semester, the GEC will continue discussing criteria for COM Area G: Cross-Cultural Studies, COM Area H: Physical Activity, and Open Educational Resources.
2. Dave and A Joe will work to schedule monthly GEC meetings as break-outs from Curriculum Meetings.
3. One committee member said of the GE Committee, "They always have better food."

### **eLumen (Cari Torres)**

1. Cari and Dong Nguyen will meet with eLumen tomorrow to continue working on transferring curriculum into the new system.
2. A Joe and Cari will work to set up eLumen training.

### **Curriculum Chair Update (A Joe Ritchie)**

1. OIM is working to develop the Fall 2018 revision cycle.

### **Academic Senate President Discussion**

1. Meg Pasquel identified a few areas of discussion regarding curriculum, including curricular conflicts between departments, the upcoming shift to eLumen, sustainability in curriculum, AS/AA degrees, class maximum, and how all this relates to Guided Pathways and back to curriculum.
2. Meg also described the need for faculty to maintain control over curricular issues, including on the curriculum committee.
3. Meg introduced the idea of a "zero budget"-style analysis of curriculum, how it relates to the college's values and guided pathways, and what criteria should be used to approve courses. The committee discussed the idea of returning to discipline review.
4. The committee discussed the role of the Curriculum Committee in approving curriculum, including the extent to which the committee should scrutinize curriculum and the criteria used to approve curriculum.
5. The committee discussed need to scrutinize new curriculum more closely, especially for interdepartmental considerations.
6. The committee came to consensus that new curriculum should be presented to the curriculum committee before recommendations.
7. The committee discussed the idea of reviewing courses in the catalog that have not been offered in five years or longer.

### **Interesting Facts**

- None today.

**Technical Review:**

For the most recent information on the status of courses being reviewed, please refer to the Course Approval Schedule on the Curriculum Committee website or the attachment from the regular distribution of curriculum materials by the Chair.