

COLLEGE OF MARIN ACADEMIC SENATE MINUTES

March 2, 2023

CALL TO ORDER: 12:45 PM AC 303

[Zoom](#) Meeting ID: 850 6892 2484

Senators Present: Beattie, Coulson, France, Jones, King, Kreit, Muller, Rolston, Perrone, Schmidt, Seery, Wood.
Invited Guests:

1. Agenda — Approved by all Senators present — King/Schmidt
2. Minutes from 2/23 — Approved by all Senators present — King/Seery
3. Public Requests — None
4. Officers' Reports
 - a. President
 - i. LRC classrooms – VP King found an old PPT of the classroom layout in the new LRC. There were supposed to be 9 classrooms – 10 if you count the divided classroom. VP Eldridge and President Coon both reminded Senate President Coulson that there is money set aside to convert 2nd Floor of SS building into classrooms.
 - ii. Administrative Service newsletter touched on issue of College renting out space. All over campus, people had concerns with this because we need space for academic matters. This will land in PRAC when Admin Services presents to PRAC. We all contribute to the college community and shouldn't be charged for it.
 - iii. State Senate wants PT faculty to contribute to a survey. Will send this to chairs.
 - b. Vice President: PT faculty survey on structural inequality.
 - i. You can attend some webinars:
 1. **ASCCC**: [Online Education Webinar Series - Differences between Section 504 vs 508](#), March 13th 11:00am-12:00pm
 2. **ASCCC**: [Part Time Faculty Survey](#) on structural inequality, deadline March 10th
 3. **ASCCC**: Webinar "[Academic and Professional Matters: The 10+1 in Practice](#)", March 6th 12:00pm-1:00pm
5. Committee Reports — See below.
6. SLOAC — Discussion with members of SLOAC about process/ideas/moving forward
 - a. Senator Wood explained that SLOAC is faculty driven and is here to support. Have specific requirements related to the ISER mean that COM needs to take SLOs to a different level. These should be tools to look at equity, and we need to disaggregate data. It is important at course level, but we need to also consider them at the department and program level. Senator Jones and Senator Wood asked for the Senate's input on moving forward with SLO work, which in the past was focused on setting up and implementing eLumen, creating schedules and getting faculty to follow them and report their SLOs. Some departments still have trouble with this, but for the most part COM needs to look at what to do next and how to use this data in reflective, equity-driven practices. Furthermore, there is matter of the committee itself, whose role should be evolving: how many people should be on the committee? How many units should it involve?
 - b. Senator Jones said that SLOAC is feeling siloed and wants to figure out how to fit into new programs like MESA, the Culturally Responsive Pedagogy Grant, new and improved Program Review, metrics, and reporting. SLOAC takes quite a bit of time for familiarizing, so the current members have put that work in. They are familiar with the system and want to do more.
 - c. Some colleges have problems with accreditation if they are not disaggregating data and discussion of SLOs. COM has done assessment and curriculum mapping. The question is: what is next. Should SLOAC provide departments with yearly reports?
 - d. President Coulson expressed her concern that what some people think is a trend is mathematically most likely random. We are so small that when you disaggregate the data you often have categories with only three people.
 - e. SLOAC should come up with new questions for Program Review, and the Senate will take a look at Program Review. Coulson will talk to Cari about the current template. Senate should be in control of Program Review template.
 - f. This would involve rethinking the structure of SLOAC and program review, and would force programs to interact with SLOAC. It would be good if the future of Senate is to take back Program Review and SLOAC's future is to interact with program review. Senator Jones concluded that ideally, reports would

be generated by program with such a frequency that faculty would be thinking about SLO outcomes all along, not just during Program Review.

7. APs/BPs —
 - a. Approved roll call vote (King/Wood). Ayes: Beattie, Coulson, France, Jones, King, Kreit, Muller, Rolston, Perrone, Schmidt, Seery, Wood. Nays: None.
 - i. AP 4022 Program Revitalization -- minor changes
 - ii. ~~AP 4240 Academic Renewal. Horinek made some changes~~
 - iii. AP 5530 - Students Rights and Grievances – minor changes
 - iv. AP 5700 Intercollegiate Athletics – brand new
 - v. BP 4030 Academic Freedom –
 - vi. BP 4240 Academic Renewal
 - vii. BP 4675 Programs for Older Adults – ESCOM
 - viii. BP 5040 Student Records Directory –you can use your chosen name on diploma
 - b. AP 4102 – Career and Technical Programs — the Senators reviewed the changes previously discussed. President Coulson will reach out to the Career/Tech dean with a heads up and asking if there were any problems with the changes made. AP 4102 approved (King/Perrone) by roll call vote: Ayes: Beattie, Coulson, France, Jones, King, Kreit, Muller, Rolston, Perrone, Schmidt, Seery, Wood. Nays: None.
8. Wrap Up/Future business:
 - a. Faculty Evaluations
 - b. Bookstore/Books
 - c. ZTC Taskforce
 - d. Wifi (Caitlin will bring up with TPC)
9. Adjourn — 2:00

For more information about Academic Senate Minutes, contact Secretary Caitlin Rolston at crolston@marin.edu